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Problems with RTBF

- Supposed to protect one’s privacy but protect one’s ALL data, public or private
- But to whom does data belong to? DO YOU REALLY OWN DATA ABOUT YOU?
  - Who should control the data “John Beat Up Jane”.
Relevance?

• Relevant from whose perspective?
Public Interest?

• Can we really impose public interest obligations on individuals? Where is pluralism ideal of freedom of speech?
Fight Discrimination?

• “Make Us Blind to Our Own Mistakes” – Is this a proportionate way of fighting discrimination?
• Which is a better society? Voluntary Informed Tolerance vs. Forced, Ignorant Acceptance
• Rehabilitation law vs. RTBF
• RTBF hurts accountability, e.g., the case of Christian Right pastors desiring to delink their past discriminatory remarks during sermon
Real tool for fighting discrimination: Anonymity

• Anonymity should be protected because it is privacy about identity – RTBF is not about privacy
• Problem: warrantless unmasking of identities