

RTBF at APrIGF

K.S. Park

kyungsinpark@korea.ac.kr @unbeatenpath

Professor, Korea University Law School

Director, Open Net Korea/ PSPD Law Center

Problems with RTBF

- Supposed to protect one's privacy but protect one's ALL data, public or private
- But to whom does data belong to? DO YOU REALLY OWN DATA ABOUT YOU?
 - Who should control the data "John Beat Up Jane".

Relevance?

- Relevant from whose perspective?

Public Interest?

- Can we really impose public interest obligations on individuals? Where is pluralism ideal of freedom of speech?

Fight Discrimination?

- “Make Us Blind to Our Own Mistakes” – Is this a proportionate way of fighting discrimination?
- Which is a better society? Voluntary Informed Tolerance vs. Forced, Ignorant Acceptance
- Rehabilitation law vs. RTBF
- RTBF hurts accountability, e.g., the case of Christian Right pastors desiring to delink their past discriminatory remarks during sermon

Real tool for fighting discrimination: Anonymity

- Anonymity should be protected because it is privacy about identity – RTBF is not about privacy
- Problem: warrantless unmasking of identities