Freedom of Assembly and Association Online in Taiwan

Audrey Tang @ APrIGF 2016
10. Condemns unequivocally measures to intentionally prevent or disrupt access to or dissemination of information online in violation of international human rights law and calls on all States to refrain from and cease such measures;
2013-05, SOPA like
– draft proposal + public hearing
vs.

- RIT
- IFPI
- WIKIMEDIA TAIWAN
- Mozilla Taiwan
- MoeDict
How can I help?

People have access to information and of speech, and from seizure or concealment of communication freedom (extended reading 1, extended reading 2), the government should not decide for you what you can see.

Join us to prevent government from censoring the Internet.

1. Write to Intellectual Property Office expressing strong opposition.

2. Called your constituency legislators elected representatives, express strong opposition.

WRITE TO THE PARLIAMENT COMMITTEE

WRITE TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE
11. *Stresses* the importance of combating advocacy of hatred that constitutes incitement to discrimination or violence on the Internet, including by promoting tolerance and dialogue;
2013-06, CDA like
+ draft proposal   – public hearing

vs.
2014-03, Occupy Parliament

Institutionalize Rapid Wireless Deployment
For Unpredictable Massive Movement

Kenny Huang, Ph.D. @ APrIGF 2015
12. *Calls upon* all States to consider formulating, through *transparent and inclusive processes* with all stakeholders, and adopting national *Internet-related public policies* that have the objective of universal access and enjoyment of human rights at their core;
UberX 自用車載客 — vTaiwan.tw

線上叫車創新服務迅速竄起，提供不同於懸掛計程車的服務。然而，新興叫車服務是否能守相同的法律？歡迎大家來討論。

- 參考資料目錄（歡迎投稿）。
- 請點選「分享您的觀點...」加入你的意見，請用「我覺得...」開頭。
- 新寫的意見是獨立的，不需要回覆別人的看法。
- 有多個感受請分開寫，請勿使用問句。
- 點選「共同意見」來看立場不同的人共同認同的論點。

分析報告徵集中！我們會在 8/20 彙集各界的分析，提請交通部回應、於八月底舉辦線上諮詢，並在 vTaiwan.tw 上啟動下一階段的討論。

（附註：此系統不是為了人數統計，而是想找出許多人會以單點表示。歡迎大家提出自己的看法。）

“Rough Consensus”

Specific issue
Crowdsourced Agenda
Open Data
Deliberation guaranteed
2015-03, Privacy in Open Data

forum * hearing * deliberation * WG = spec

with

+15 WG contributors
+1640 participants
2015-06, Cyberbullying

draft * forum * hearing = deliberation

with

+590 participants
2016-04, Communications Act
forum * hearing * deliberation = draft

with

+1160 participants
2016-05, Copyright Act

forum * hearing = draft

with

+102 participants
not for the people; with the people.